

Between Tariff shock and stock market rally



Marc Terras
Head of Open Architecture

Between Tariff shock and stock market rally

After the shock caused by the protectionist offensive of Donald Trump, stock market indices rebounded, thanks notably to the draft trade agreement concluded between the United States and China in June.

The announcement by Donald Trump on April 2nd of exorbitant "reciprocal" customs duties triggered a global stock market storm, causing the MSCI World index to fall by more than of $11\,\%^1$. Against all expectations, US Treasury bonds, traditionally sought for their safe-haven status, also declined due to massive selloffs. The reason for this is that investors are growing distrust towards Donald Trump's budgetary plans, which threatens to worsen the country's situation in the face of sharply rising interest rates and the significant fall in the dollar. The US President was ultimately forced to backtrack and announced the suspension of these reciprocal tariffs for 90 days, while maintaining universal tariffs of $10\,\%^1$. At the same time, an irrational escalation had begun with China, ahead of the signing of a truce to pave the way for more in-depth negotiations.

Despite a period of relative calm in the financial markets, Donald Trump's protectionist offensive and repeated policy reversals have sown confusion and concern among consumers. While the Federal Reserve's Conference Board was expecting US GDP to grow at an annualised rate of 1% in the first quarter, it finally fell $0.5\%^1$, mainly due to a historic trade deficit caused by the exceptional surge in imports before the implementation of the new tariffs. In its latest economic projections, the Federal Reserve further downgraded its growth forecasts and revised upwards inflation projection, thus reinforcing the scenario of

stagflation². This situation places the central bank in a delicate position with regard to its dual mandate of price stability and full employment. Much to Donald Trump's frustration, the Federal Reserve considers, for the time being, that the resilience of the labour market and the current inflationary pressures do not justify an immediate rate cut, especially as inflation remains persistently high.

In 2018, during the US president's first protectionist offensive, businesses had taken three months to pass higher tariffs on to retail prices. Jerome Powell believes he needs more time to assess the impact on economic activity of US immigration, protectionism, deregulation and taxation policies before considering a change in monetary policy.

However, several statistics, such as retail sales, jobless claims and exports, suggest that the US economy is starting to slow. In his latest statements, Jerome Powell indicated that he was not totally resistant to a rate cut in July if the labour market showed signs of weakness.

In the Eurozone, economic growth figures came as a positive surprise in the first quarter, with GDP rising by $0.6\%^4$ quarter-on-quarter. Nevertheless, this improvement could be undermined by the new US tariffs. While the ECB has not revised its growth forecasts for 2025, it has implemented its eighth rate cut within a year, bringing the deposit rate to $2\%^5$. With the neutral rate estimated between 1.75% and 2.25%, Ms. Lagarde indicated that the ECB was approaching the end of its monetary cycle. Believing that the risks to growth remain tilted to the downside, the ECB President noted that a further rate cut in September could not be ruled out, especially since by then US trade policy should have been clarified.

In China, the 5.4% year-on-year GDP growth in the first quarter can be attributed to two exceptional factors: household consumption boosted by extraordinary government support measures and a surge in the trade surplus ahead of the implementation of US tariffs. However, the monetary and fiscal stimulus deployed thus far remain insufficient to stimulate domestic demand, which continues to be undermined by the protracted property crisis.

In this context, driven by technology stocks, US equities rebounded by 10.57% in dollars over the quarter¹, while the Eurozone gained 3.54% in euros¹. Since the start of the year, with the US dollar having depreciated by 12% against the euro over the period, the performance gap between the two regions now exceeds 18% in euros in favour of the Old Continent. This depreciation reflects investors' growing distrust of Donald Trump's economic choices.

The rise in long-term US interest rates stems from massive sell-offs of US sovereign bonds by foreign investors, concerned by the budgetary measures included in the "One Big Beautiful Bill Act", which risk further aggravating the United States' already high public debt, standing at 125% of GDP 1 . Despite increased infrastructure and defence spending in Germany, 10-year German bond yields fell by 13 basis points over the quarter, reflecting investors' continued appetite for this asset class. Eurozone sovereign bonds, meanwhile, gained $1.85\%^1$.



Our investment strategy for the third quarter of 2025

The White House tenant's unpredictability complicates the elaboration of economic scenarios to determine strategic allocations. All forecasters recently cut their global growth projections in 2025, due to the expected decline in international trade, but also raised inflation. Against this backdrop, household confidence remains low, and investor sentiment towards global economic prospects continues to deteriorate.

If trade talks have resumed since 9 July, they are likely to end on US trade deals, in line with Donald Trump's original goal of rebalancing trade. With equity markets returning to all time highs and valuations less attractive in an uncertain environment, we continue to advocate a prudent investment strategy. The latter is reflected in a neutral equity exposure, strong geographical diversification and a substantial money market allocation in anticipation of attractive entry points. The return of volatility should allow for more tactical and opportunistic positioning.

Equities

Although we are maintaining a neutral exposure, we have continued to favour the eurozone, which is benefiting from a cycle of monetary easing, an improving economic outlook, particularly thanks to Germany's fiscal stimulus plan, and a beginning of global capital reallocation away from US equities. Despite a structural underweight to US equities we tactically increased our allocations after the falls. We also continued to favour emerging markets in Asia, particularly China, where the economy has been resilient since the beginning of the year. At a sectoral level, we began to take some profits on eurozone banks, which have gained 38% since the beginning of the year.

Rates

Global Fixed Income markets gained 4.52% ¹ in the second quarter of 2025, despite rising in US long rates. We maintained our investments in Eurozone sovereign debt, which benefited from renewed interest among international investors seeking alternatives to US debt, which has become more vulnerable to political and currency risks.

Credit

Despite very tight spreads⁶, we continued to position ourselves in European Investment Grade⁷ credit, which still offers high returns while default rates remain low. We remain invested in emerging market debt which has benefited from a weaker US dollar. We also continued to take some profits and reinvest them in money market funds while waiting to have more visibility on the evolution of several sources of concern and thus have liquid reserves to seize opportunities.



Learn more about the fund(s)

R-co OPAL Absolu R-co OPAL Croissance





R-co OPAL Equilibre



R-co OPAL Modéré



Check this document on our website





⁽¹⁾ Source: Bloomberg, 30/6/2025

⁽²⁾ Stagflation is the situation of an economy that is suffering from low or zero economic growth and high inflation.

⁽³⁾ Source: Eurostat, June 2025

⁽⁴⁾ Source: ECB, June 2025

⁽⁵⁾ Source: Bloomberg, 30/06/2025. Bloomberg Global Aggregate index.

⁽⁶⁾ Spread refers to the difference in yield between a bond and a loan of equivalent maturity considered to be 'risk free.'

⁽⁷⁾ Debt security issued by corporations or governments that are rated between AAA and BBB- on the Standard & Poor's scale.

Disclaimers

The information, comments and analyses contained in this document are provided for purely informational purposes and shall not be considered as investment advice, tax advice, a recommendation, or investment guidance from Rothschild & Co Asset Management. The information/opinions/data referred to in this document, deemed legitimate and accurate on the date of publication, in line with the prevailing economic and financial environment at that time, are subject to change at any time. Although this document has been prepared with the utmost care using sources deemed reliable by Rothschild & Co Asset Management, no guarantee is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information and assessments it contains. These are provided for indicative purposes only and may be amended without prior notice. Rothschild & Co Asset Management has not independently verified the information contained in this document and cannot therefore be held liable for any errors or omissions, nor for any interpretation of the information contained herein. All data have been compiled based on accounting or market information. The accounting data have not all been audited by a statutory auditor. Please note that past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance and is not constant over time. Furthermore, given the subjective nature of certain analyses, it should be noted that the information, projections, estimates, forecasts, assumptions and/or opinions expressed are not necessarily those implemented by the portfolio management teams of Rothschild & Co Asset Management or its affiliates, who act based on their own convictions. Certain forward-looking statements are based on assumptions which may prove to be partially or entirely different from reality. Any hypothetical estimate is inherently speculative, and it is possible that some or all of the assumptions underlying these hypothetical illustrations may not materialise or may differ significantly from current assessments. This analysis is valid only at the time of draft

Published by Rothschild & Co Asset Management, a portfolio management company with share capital of €1,818,181.89, 29 avenue de Messine – 75008 Paris. AMF approval no. GP 17000014, RCS Paris 824 540 173.

Any partial or full reproduction of this document is prohibited without the prior authorisation of Rothschild & Co Asset Management and may lead to legal proceedings.



About the Asset Management's division of Rothschild & Co

As the specialised asset management division of the Rothschild & Co group, we offer personalised asset management services to a broad client base of institutional investors, financial intermediaries and distributors.

Our development is focused on a range of open-ended funds, marketed under five strong brands: Conviction, Valor, Thematic, 4Change and OPAL, leveraging our long-term expertise in active management with conviction as well as in delegated management. Based in Paris and established in 9 European countries, we manage more than 38billion euros and employ nearly 170 people.

More information at: www.am.eu.rothschildandco.com

France

29, Avenue de Messine 75008 Paris +33 1 40 74 40 74

Switzerland

Rothschild & Co Bank AG Rue de la Corraterie 6 1204 Genève +41 22 818 59 00

Germany - Austria

Börsenstraße 2 - 4 Frankfurt am Main 60313 +49 69 299 8840

Belgium – Netherlands – Luxembourg

Rue de la Régence 52 1000 Bruxelles +32 2 627 77 30

Italy

Passaggio Centrale 3 20 123 Milano +39 02 7244 31

Spain

Paseo de la Castellana 40 bis 28046 Madrid +39 02 7244 31

Visit our internet site



Follow us on LinkedIn

